

City of Harrisonburg, Virginia  
Procedures Regarding Requests Made Pursuant to  
the Public-Private Education Facilities and  
Infrastructure Act of 2002

Adopted by Harrisonburg City Council

October 26, 2004

REVISED September 2008

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction.....p. 03
- II. General Provisions.....p. 03
  - A. Proposals.....p. 03
  - B. Affected Local Jurisdictions .....p. 03
  - C. Proposal Review Fee.....p. 04
  - D. Virginia Freedom of Information Act.....p. 04
  - E. Use of Public Funds .....p. 06
  - F. Applicability of Other Laws .....p. 06
- III. Solicited Bids/Proposals .....p. 06
- IV. Unsolicited Proposals.....p. 06
  - A. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Notice .....p. 07
  - B. Contents of Initial Submission.....p. 07
  - C. Initial Review at the Conceptual Stage.....p. 08
  - D. Format for Submissions at the Conceptual Stage .....p. 08
  - E. Format for Submissions at the Detailed Stage.....p. 10
- V. Proposal Evaluation and Selection Criteria .....p. 11
  - A. Qualifications and Experience .....p. 11
  - B. Project Characteristics .....p. 11
  - C. Project Financing .....p. 12
  - D. Project Benefit and Compatibility .....p. 12
- VI. Interim and Comprehensive Agreements.....p. 13

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002, Va. Code Ann. §§ 56-575.1 to -575.16 (the “PPEA”), grants a public entity the authority to create public-private partnerships for the development of a wide range of projects for public use (“qualifying projects”) if the public entity determines that there is a need for a project and that private involvement may provide the project to the public in a timely or cost effective fashion. The definition of “public entity” in § 56-575.1 of the PPEA includes, *inter alia*, any political subdivision of the Commonwealth.

Section 56-575.16 of the PPEA provides that a public entity having the power to acquire, design, construct, improve, renovate, expand, equip, maintain, or operate a qualifying project (a “responsible public entity”) may not consider any proposal by a private entity for approval of the qualifying project pursuant to the PPEA until the responsible public entity has adopted and made publicly available procedures that are sufficient to enable the responsible public entity to comply with the PPEA. Accordingly, these procedures (the “Procedures”) are hereby adopted by the City Council (the “Council”) as the governing body of the City of Harrisonburg, Virginia (the “City”). The Council must adopt any amendments to these Procedures. The City Purchasing Agent shall serve as the point of contact to receive proposals submitted under the PPEA and to respond to inquiries regarding the PPEA or these Procedures.

## **II. GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### **A. Proposals**

1. Pursuant to Section 56-575.4 of the PPEA, a proposal may be either solicited by the City (a “Solicited Bid/Proposal”) or delivered by a private entity on an unsolicited basis (an “Unsolicited Proposal”). In either case, any such proposal shall be clearly identified as a “PPEA Proposal.” Proposers may be required to follow a two-part proposal submission process consisting of a conceptual phase and a detailed phase, as described herein.
2. The requirements for any particular Solicited Bid/Proposal shall be as specified in the solicitation by the City for that particular proposal and shall be consistent with all applicable provisions of the PPEA.
3. All Unsolicited Proposals shall be submitted to the City by delivering six complete copies, together with the required initial review fee as provided below in § II C., to the Purchasing Agent, City of Harrisonburg Purchasing Office. Other requirements for an Unsolicited Proposal are as set forth below in § IV. A working group may be designated by the City Manager to review and evaluate Unsolicited Proposals.
4. The City may require that any proposal be clarified. Such clarification may include, but is not limited to, submission of additional documentation, responses to specific questions, and interviews with potential project participants.

### **B. Affected Local Jurisdictions**

1. The term “affected local jurisdiction” includes any county, city or town in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.
2. Any private entity submitting a Solicited Bid/Proposal or an Unsolicited Proposal to the City as the responsible public entity for a qualifying project must provide any other affected local

jurisdiction with a copy of the proposal by certified mail, express delivery, or hand delivery within five business days of submission of the proposal to the City. Any such other affected local jurisdiction shall have 60 days from the date it receives its copy of the proposal to submit written comments to the City and to indicate whether the proposed qualifying project is compatible with the affected local jurisdiction's local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan. The City will consider comments received within the 60-day period prior to entering into a comprehensive agreement pursuant to the PPEA regarding the proposal. However, the City may begin or continue its evaluation of any such proposal during the 60-day period for the receipt of comments from affected local jurisdictions.

### **C. *Proposal Review Fee***

1. A review fee will be charged to a private entity submitting an Unsolicited Proposal to the City to cover the City's costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating the proposal, including the cost to compare it to any competing proposals. Such costs include but are not limited to City staff time, the cost of any materials or supplies expended, and the cost of any outside advisors or consultants, including but not limited to attorneys, consultants and/or financial advisors, used by the City in its sole discretion to assist in processing, reviewing, or evaluating the proposal. Such fees generally shall be in the amount necessary to completely cover all of the City's costs.
2. Such fees shall be imposed based on the reasonably anticipated costs to the City in accordance with the following schedule:
  - a. Initial fee. Payment of an initial fee must accompany the submission of the Unsolicited Proposal to the City in order for the City to proceed with its review. The initial fee shall be one percent of the reasonably anticipated total cost of the proposed qualifying project, but shall be no less than \$1,000 nor more than \$20,000, regardless of the anticipated total cost.
  - b. Additional fees. Additional fees shall be imposed on and paid by the private entity throughout the processing, review, and evaluation of the Unsolicited Proposal if and as the City reasonably anticipates incurring costs in excess of the initial fee paid by the private entity. The City will notify the private entity of the amount of such additional fees when it anticipates incurring such costs. Prompt payment of such additional fees is required before the City will continue to process, review, and evaluate the proposal.
  - c. Reimbursement of excess fees paid. In the event the total fees paid by the private entity exceed the City's total costs incurred in processing, reviewing, and evaluating the proposal, the City shall reimburse the difference. Otherwise, the City shall retain all fees paid.

### **D. *Virginia Freedom of Information Act***

1. Generally, proposal documents submitted by private entities are subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"—Virginia Code § 2.2-3700 et seq.). In accordance with § 2.2-3705 A 56 of FOIA, such documents are releasable if requested, except to the extent that they relate to (i) confidential proprietary information submitted to the City under a promise of confidentiality or (ii) memoranda, working papers or other records related to proposals if making public such records would adversely affect the financial interest of the City or the private entity or the bargaining position of either party. Additionally, subdivision 11 of § 2.2-3705.6 exempts certain documents from public disclosure. The City may elect to release some or all of documents except to the extent the documents are: (i) trade secrets of the entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.), (ii) financial records of the entity

that are not generally available to the public, or (iii) other information submitted by a private entity where if the record or document were made public before the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement the financial interest or bargaining position of the public or private entity would be adversely affected. Also, if proposal documents submitted by the private entity are compelled or protected from disclosure by a court order, the City will comply with the provisions of the order.

2. Subsection 56-575.4 G of the PPEA imposes an obligation on the City and any affected jurisdiction to protect confidential proprietary information submitted by a private entity or operator. Before a document of a private entity may be withheld from disclosure, the private entity must make a written request to the RPE. The written request from the private entity must, (i) invoke the exclusion when the data or materials are submitted to the City or before such submission, (ii) identify the data and materials for which protection from disclosure is sought, and (iii) state why the exclusion from disclosure is necessary. A private entity may request and receive a determination from the City entity as to the anticipated scope of protection prior to submitting the proposal. The City is authorized and obligated to protect only confidential proprietary information including (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the City or the private entity, and thus will not protect any portion of a proposal from disclosure if the entire proposal has been designated confidential by the private entity without reasonably differentiating between the proprietary and non-proprietary information contained therein. If the entity fails to designate trade secrets, financial records, or other confidential or proprietary information for protection from disclosure, such information, records, or documents will be subject to disclosure under FOIA.
3. The City may withhold from disclosure memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by the City, its staff, outside advisors, or consultants specifically for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals where (i) if such records were made public prior to or after the execution of an interim or a comprehensive agreement, the financial interest or bargaining position of the City would be adversely affected, and (ii) the basis for determination required in clause (i) is documented in writing by the City.
4. The City may not withhold from public access: (i) procurement records other than those subject to the determination of the City, (ii) information regarding the terms and conditions of any interim or comprehensive agreement, service contract, lease, partnership, or any agreement of any kind entered into by the City and the private entity, (iii) information concerning the terms and conditions of any financing agreement that involves the use of any public funds, or (iv) information concerning the performance of any private entity developing or operating a qualifying transportation facility or other qualifying project.
5. Upon receipt of a request from a private entity that designated portions of a proposal be protected from disclosure as confidential and proprietary, the City will determine whether such protection is appropriate under applicable law and, if appropriate, the scope of such appropriate protection, and shall communicate its determination to the private entity. If the determination regarding protection or the scope thereof differs from the private entity's request, then the City will accord the private entity a reasonable opportunity to clarify and justify its request. Upon a final determination by the City to accord less protection than requested by the private entity, the private entity would be given an opportunity to withdraw its proposal. A proposal so withdrawn will be treated in the same manner as a proposal not accepted for publication and conceptual-phase consideration as provided below in § IV(A)(1).

## **E. Use of Public Funds**

Virginia constitutional and statutory requirements as they apply to appropriation and expenditure of public funds apply to any interim or comprehensive agreement entered into under the PPEA. Accordingly, the processes and procedural requirements associated with the expenditure or obligation of public funds shall be incorporated into planning for any PPEA project or projects and shall be in compliance with the City's fiscal policies.

## **F. Applicability of Other Laws**

Nothing in the PPEA shall affect the duty of the City to comply with all other applicable law not in conflict with the PPEA. The applicability of the Virginia Public Procurement Act (the "VPPA") is as set forth in the PPEA.

## **III. SOLICITED BIDS/PROPOSALS**

1. The procedures applicable to any particular Solicited Bid/Proposal shall be specified in the solicitation for that proposal and shall be consistent with the requirements of the PPEA and any other applicable law. All such solicitations shall be by issuance of a written Invitation to Bid ("IFB") or Request for Proposal ("RFP") within the meaning of "competitive sealed bidding" and "competitive negotiation" as used in the City of Harrisonburg Purchasing Policy.
2. Any proposal submitted pursuant to the PPEA that is not received in response to an IFB or RFP shall be an Unsolicited Proposal under these procedures, including but not limited to (a) proposals received in response to a notice of the prior receipt of another Unsolicited Proposal as required by the PPEA and provided for below in § IV(A)(2) and (b) proposals received in response to publicity by the City concerning particular needs when the City has not issued a corresponding IFB or RFP, even if the City otherwise has encouraged the submission of proposals pursuant to the PPEA that address those needs.

## **IV. UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS**

The process for evaluating Unsolicited Proposals, described in detail below, consists of four steps: 1) upon receipt of an Unsolicited Proposal, the City will determine whether to accept it for consideration at the conceptual phase;

2) if so, the City will give public notice of the Unsolicited Proposal;

3) the City will proceed with a review at the conceptual stage of the original Unsolicited Proposal and/or any proposal received in response to the public notice and accepted for consideration at the conceptual stage; and

4) the City will conduct an in-depth review at the detailed stage of the original Unsolicited Proposal and/or any proposal received in response to the public notice and accepted for consideration at the detailed stage. The City may discontinue its evaluation of any proposal at any time. Furthermore, if the City determines that it is in the City's interest to do so with respect to any Unsolicited Proposal, the City may eliminate review at the conceptual stage and proceed directly to a review at the detailed stage.

## **A. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Notice**

1. Upon receipt from a private entity of any Unsolicited Proposal accompanied by payment of any required fees, the City will determine whether to accept the Unsolicited Proposal for publication and conceptual-phase consideration, as described below. If the City determines not to accept the proposal at this stage it will return the proposal and the accompanying initial review fee and documentation to the private entity.
2. If the City chooses to accept an unsolicited proposal for conceptual-phase consideration, it shall post (within 10 working days of acceptance) a notice in a public area regularly used by the City for posting of public notices for a period of not less than 45 days. The City shall also publish the same notice in one or more newspapers or periodicals of general circulation in the City to notify any parties that may be interested in submitting competing unsolicited proposals. Additionally, the notice will be advertised in *Virginia Business Opportunities* and on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement website. At least one copy of the proposals will be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of §2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the City and the private entity. Interested parties shall have 45 days from the date the notice is published to submit competing unsolicited proposals. The notice shall state that the City (i) has received and accepted an unsolicited proposal under the PPEA, (ii) intends to evaluate the proposal, (iii) may negotiate a comprehensive agreement with the proposer based on the proposal, and (iv) will accept for simultaneous consideration any competing proposals that comply with the procedures adopted by the City and the PPEA. The notice also shall summarize the proposed qualifying project or projects, and identify their proposed locations. During the 45-day period for receiving competing Unsolicited Proposals, the City may continue to evaluate the original Unsolicited Proposal. There will be representatives from the City available to respond to inquiries and meet with private entities that are considering the submission of a competing proposal. Finally, in addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of § 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the RPE and the private entity.

## **B. Contents of Initial Submission**

1. An Unsolicited Proposal must contain information on the private entity's qualifications and experience, project characteristics, project financing, anticipated public reaction, and project benefit and compatibility. The information should be adequate to enable the City to evaluate the practicality and sufficiency of the proposal. The private entity may request that the City consider a two-step proposal process, consisting of an initial conceptual submission to be followed by a more detailed submission.
2. Unsolicited Proposals should provide a concise description of the private entity's capability to complete the proposed qualifying project and the benefits to be derived from the project by the City. Project benefits to be considered may occur during the construction, renovation, expansion or improvement phase and during the life cycle of the project. Proposals also should include a comprehensive scope of work and a financial plan for the project, containing enough detail to allow an analysis by the City of the financial feasibility of the proposed project, including but not limited to (a) the identity of any parties expected to provide financing for the

- project and (b) a statement indicating whether the private entity intends to request the City to provide resources for financing the project and the nature and extent of any such resources.
3. The City may require additional submissions to clarify information previously provided or to address other areas of concern to the City.

### **C. *Initial Review at the Conceptual Stage***

1. Only proposals complying with the requirements of the PPEA and these Procedures that contain sufficient information for a meaningful evaluation and that are provided in an appropriate format, as described below, will be considered by the City for further review at the conceptual stage.
2. The City will determine at this initial stage of review whether it will proceed using procurement through competitive sealed bidding as defined in the VPPA or procedures developed by the City that are consistent with procurement of other than professional services through competitive negotiation as defined in the VPPA.
3. After reviewing an Unsolicited Proposal and any competing Unsolicited Proposals submitted during the notice period, the City may determine
  - (a) not to proceed further with any proposal, (b) to proceed to the detailed phase of review with the original proposal, (c) to proceed to the detailed phase with a competing proposal, (d) to proceed to the detailed phase with multiple proposals, or (e) to request modifications or amendments to any proposals. The City at all times retains the right to reject any proposal at any time for any reason whatsoever.
4. Discussions between RPEs and private entities about the need for infrastructure improvements shall not limit the ability of a RPE to later determine to use standard procurement procedures to meet its infrastructure needs. The RPE retains the right to reject any proposal at any time prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.

### **D. *Format for Submissions at the Conceptual Stage***

Unsolicited Proposals at the conceptual stage shall contain the following information in the following format, plus such additional information as the City may request:

1. Qualification and Experience
  - a. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal. Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach, and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.
  - b. Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity, including prior experience bringing similar projects to completion on budget and in compliance with design, land use, service and other standards. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties and a description of such guarantees and warranties. Provide resumes of the key individuals who will be involved in the project.
  - c. Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further information.
  - d. Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or greater.



- e. Identify any persons known to the private entity who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to The Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2.
2. Project Characteristics
- a. Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design. Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of the project, the location, and all the communities that may be affected are clearly identified.
  - b. Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the City or any other public entity.
  - c. Include a list of all federal, state and local permits and approvals required for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.
  - d. Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic and environmental impacts of the project measured against the City's comprehensive land use plan and applicable ordinances and design standards. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known impacts of the project.
  - e. Identify the projected positive social, economic and environmental impacts of the project measured against the City's comprehensive land use plan and applicable ordinances and design standards.
  - f. Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including sufficient time for the City's review and the estimated time for completion.
  - g. Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the project.
  - h. State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the City's use of the project.
  - i. Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.
  - j. Describe any architectural, building, engineering, or other applicable standards that the proposed project will meet.
  - k. List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.
3. Project Financing
- a. Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.
  - b. Submit a plan for the development, financing and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for all such funds, including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs based upon the City's operational standards.
  - c. Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan.
  - d. Identify all anticipated risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors. Describe methods and remedies associated with any financial default.
  - e. Identify any local, state or federal resources that the private entity contemplates requesting for the project along with an anticipated schedule of resource requirements. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources (identify each such source) and the timing of any anticipated commitment, both one-time and on-going.
  - f. Identify any third parties that the private entity contemplates will provide financing for the project and describe the nature and timing of each such commitment.

- g. Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing
4. Project Benefit and Compatibility
- a. Describe the anticipated benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic, social, and environmental condition of the City. Identify who will benefit from the project and how they will benefit.
  - b. Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition (including that in any affected jurisdiction), for the project.
  - c. Explain the strategy and plans, including the anticipated timeline that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.
  - d. Explain whether and, if so, how the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to the City or the surrounding region.
  - e. Explain whether and, if so, how the project is compatible with the City's comprehensive plan (including related environmental, land use and facility standards ordinances, where applicable), infrastructure development plans, capital improvements budget, or other government-spending plan.
  - f. Provide a statement indicating participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with the project with regard to the following types of businesses: (i) minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.

### ***E. Format for Submissions at the Detailed Stage***

If the City decides to proceed to the detailed phase of review with one or more Unsolicited Proposals, then the following information must be provided by the private entity unless waived by the City:

1. A topographical map (1:2,000 or other appropriate scale) depicting the location of the proposed project.
2. A list of public utility facilities, if any, that will be crossed by the qualifying project and a statement of the plans of the private entity to accommodate such crossings.
3. A statement and strategy setting out the plans for securing all necessary property and/or easements. The statement must include the names and addresses, if known, of the current owners of the subject property as well as a list of any property the private entity intends to request the City or affected jurisdiction to condemn.
4. A detailed listing of all firms, along with their relevant experience and abilities, that will provide specific design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a brief description of such guarantees and warranties along with a record of any prior defaults for performance.
5. A total life cycle cost, including maintenance, specifying methodology and assumptions of the project or projects including major building systems (i.e., electrical, mechanical, etc.) and the proposed project start date. Include anticipated commitment of all parties; equity, debt, and other financing mechanisms; and a schedule of project revenues and project costs. The life-cycle cost analysis should include, but not be limited to, a detailed analysis of the projected return, rate of return, or both, expected useful life of facility and estimated annual operating expenses using City service levels and standards.

6. A detailed discussion of assumptions about user fees or rates, lease payments and other service payments, and the methodology and circumstances for changes and usage of the project over its estimated useful life.
7. Identification of any known government support or opposition, or general public support or opposition for the project. Government or public support should be demonstrated through resolution of official bodies, minutes of meetings, letters, or other official communications.
8. Demonstration of consistency with appropriate City and/or affected jurisdiction comprehensive plans (including related environmental, land use and facility standards ordinances, where applicable), infrastructure development plans, transportation plans, capital improvement plan and capital budget, or indication of the steps required for acceptance into such plans.
9. Explanation of how the proposed project would impact local development plans of the City and each affected local jurisdiction.
10. Identification of any known conflicts of interest or other limitations that may impact the City's consideration of the proposal, including the identification of any persons known to the private entity who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to The Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 (§ 2.2-3100 et seq.) of Title 2.2.
11. Detailed analysis of the financial feasibility of the proposed project, including its impact on similar facilities operated or planned by the City. Include a detailed description of any financing plan proposed for the project, a comparison of that plan with financing alternatives that may be available to the City, and all underlying data supporting any conclusions reached in the analysis or the selection by the private entity of the financing plan proposed for the project.
12. Additional material and information as the City may request.

## **V. PROPOSAL EVALUATION AND SELECTION CRITERIA**

Some or all of the following matters, along with the information required under §§ IV. D and IV. E above, may be considered in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals. The City retains the right at all times to reject any proposal at any time for any reason whatsoever.

### ***A. Qualifications and Experience***

Factors to be considered in either phase of the City's review to determine whether the private entity possesses the requisite qualifications and experience may include but are not necessarily limited to:

1. Experience with similar projects;
2. Demonstration of ability to perform work;
3. Leadership structure;
4. Project manager's experience;
5. Management approach;
6. Financial condition; and
7. Project ownership.

### ***B. Project Characteristics***

Factors to be considered in determining the project characteristics may include but are not necessarily limited to:

1. Project definition;

2. Proposed project schedule;
3. Operation of the project;
4. Technology; technical feasibility;
5. Conformity to laws, regulations, and standards;
6. Environmental impacts;
7. Condemnation impacts;
8. State and local permits; and
9. Maintenance of the project.

### **C. *Project Financing***

Factors to be considered in determining whether the proposed project financing allows adequate access to the necessary capital to finance the project may include but are not necessarily limited to:

1. Cost and cost benefit to the City;
2. Financing and the impact on the debt or debt burden of the City;
3. Financial plan including default implications;
4. Opportunity costs assessment;
5. Estimated cost including debt source, operating costs, etc.;
6. Life-cycle cost analysis; and
7. The identity, credit history, past performance of any third party that will provide financing for the project and the nature and timing of their commitment, as applicable and
8. Such other items as the RPE deems appropriate.

### **D. *Project Benefit and Compatibility***

Factors to be considered in determining the proposed project's compatibility with the appropriate local or regional comprehensive or development plans may include but are not necessarily limited to:

1. Community benefits;
2. Community support or opposition, or both;
3. Public involvement strategy;
4. Compatibility with existing and planned facilities;
5. Compatibility with local, regional, and state economic development efforts; and
6. Compatibility with the City's and affected jurisdictions' land use and transportation plans.

Other factors that may be considered by the RPE in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals include:

1. The proposed cost of the qualifying project;
2. The general reputation, industry experience, and financial capacity of the private entity;
3. The proposed design of the qualifying project;
4. The eligibility of the project for accelerated documentation, review, and selection;
5. Local citizen and government comments;
6. Benefits to the public, including financial and nonfinancial;

7. The private entity's compliance with a minority business enterprise participation plan or good faith effort to comply with the goals of such plan;
8. The private entity's plans to employ local contractors and residents;
9. The recommendation of a committee of representatives of members of the RPE and the appropriating body which may be established to provide advisory oversight for the project; and
10. Other criteria that the RPE deems appropriate

## **VI. INTERIM and COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENTS**

Prior to acquiring, designing, constructing, improving, renovating, expanding, equipping, maintaining, or operating any qualifying project, a selected private entity shall enter into a comprehensive agreement with the City as provided by the PPEA. Each interim or comprehensive agreement shall define the rights and obligations of the City and the selected proposer with regard to the project. Harrisonburg City Council must authorize the City Manager to approve any such comprehensive agreement before it is entered into on behalf of the City.

### **Interim Agreement:**

As provided by the PPEA, the terms of the interim agreement shall include but not be limited to

1. Project planning and development;
2. Design and engineering;
3. Environmental analysis and mitigation;
4. Survey;
5. Ascertaining the availability of financing for the proposed facility through financial and revenue analysis;
6. Establishing a process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement; and
7. Any other provisions related to any aspect of the development or operation of a qualifying project that the parties may deem appropriate prior to the execution of a comprehensive agreement.

### **Comprehensive Agreement:**

As provided by the PPEA, the terms of the comprehensive agreement shall include but not be limited to:

1. Delivery of maintenance, performance and payment bonds or letters of credit in connection with any acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project, in the forms and amounts satisfactory to the City;
2. Review and approval of plans and specifications for the qualifying project by the City;
3. The right of the City to inspect the qualifying project;
4. Maintenance of a policy or policies of liability insurance or self insurance in form and amount satisfactory to the City and reasonably sufficient to insure coverage of tort liability to the public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the qualifying project;

5. Monitoring of the practices of the operator by the City to ensure proper maintenance;
6. Reimbursement to be paid to the City for services provided by the City;
7. Filing by the operator of appropriate financial statements on a periodic basis;
8. Policies and procedures governing the rights and responsibilities of the City and the operator in the event that the comprehensive agreement is terminated or there is a material default by the operator, including the conditions governing assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the operator by the City and the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the operator by the City;
9. Providing for such user fees, lease payments, or service payments, if any, as may be established from time to time by agreement of the parties, which shall be the same for persons using the facilities under like conditions and shall not materially discourage use of the qualifying project. Classifications according to reasonable categories for assessment of user fees may be made;
10. Requiring a copy of any service contract to be filed with the City and providing that a schedule of the current user fees or lease payments shall be made available by the operator to any member of the public upon request;
11. The terms and conditions under which the responsible public entity may contribute financial resources, if any, for the qualifying project; and
12. Any other provisions required by applicable law or provisions that the City determines serve the public purpose of the PPEA. Any changes in the terms of the comprehensive agreement as may be agreed upon by the parties from time to time shall be added to the comprehensive agreement only by written amendment.

30 days prior to entering into an interim or comprehensive agreement, the City will provide an opportunity for public comment on proposals. Once the negotiation phase for the development of an agreement is complete and a decision to award has been made, the City will post on its website, and/or in a newspaper of general circulation where the contract work is to be performed, a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. At least one copy of the proposals will be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity protected from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of §2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the City and the private entity. Any studies and analyses considered by the City in its review of a proposal will be disclosed to the appropriating body at some point prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.